



**FORT BEND COUNTY  
DRAINAGE DISTRICT**

**FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS  
Financial Report**

**September 30, 2013**



**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
<b>Independent Auditors' Report</b>	1-2
<b>Management's Discussion and Analysis</b>	3-6
<b>BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</b>	
Government-wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	8
Statement of Activities	9
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Fund	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Fund	11
Notes to Financial Statements	12-22
<b>REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION</b>	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Budget and Actual – General Fund-Budgetary Basis	24
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	25
Texas County and District Retirement System – Schedule of Funding Progress	26
<b>TEXAS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION</b>	
General Fund Expenditures	28
Taxes Levied and Receivable	29
Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - General Fund	30-31
Board Members, Key Personnel, and Consultants	32
<b>Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</b>	33



## **Independent Auditors' Report**

Board of Directors  
Fort Bend County Drainage District  
Fort Bend County, Texas

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Fort Bend County Drainage District (the "District") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

### **Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Fort Bend County Drainage District as of September 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Other Matters**

### *Required Supplementary Information*

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 3 through 6, the budgetary comparison information on page and 24, and the required pension system supplementary information on page 26, respectively, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### *Other Information*

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The Texas Supplementary Information (TSI) listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. This information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

***DRAFT***

Sugar Land, Texas  
January 28, 2014

## **FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**

### **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

As management of the Fort Bend County Drainage District (the "District"), we offer readers of the District's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the year ended September 30, 2013.

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements.

#### ***Government-Wide Financial Statements***

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The *Statement of Net Position* presents financial information on all of the District's assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows/outflows of resources, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The *Statement of Activities* presents information showing how the District's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

The government-wide financial statements report on the function of the District that is principally supported by general revenues. The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 8 and 9 of this report.

#### ***Fund Financial Statements***

A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The District maintains a General Fund on the governmental fund Balance Sheet and the governmental fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance.

The General Fund is a governmental fund used to account for essentially the same function reported as *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on *near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources*, as well as on *balances of spendable resources* available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of the General Fund is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented in the General Fund with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financial decisions. Both the governmental fund Balance Sheet and the governmental fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between the governmental fund and *governmental activities*.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found on pages 10 and 11 of this report.

### ***Notes to the Financial Statements***

The notes to the financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found immediately following the governmental fund financial statements in this report.

### **Government-Wide Financial Analysis**

Net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the District, assets exceeded liabilities by \$34,211,349 as of September 30, 2013. Below is a condensed schedule of net position for the District as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Current assets	\$ 6,199,093	\$ 6,688,276
Capital assets	36,476,094	31,911,967
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>42,675,187</b>	<b>38,600,243</b>
Long-term liabilities	7,376,069	6,137,597
Other liabilities	1,087,769	725,401
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>8,463,838</b>	<b>6,862,998</b>
Net Position:		
Net investment in capital assets	36,476,094	31,911,967
Unrestricted	(2,264,745)	(174,722)
<b>Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 34,211,349</b>	<b>\$ 31,737,245</b>

The decrease in current assets of \$489,183 was primarily due to a decrease in miscellaneous receivables of \$489,304 related to the Upper Oyster Creek Project. The increase in capital assets of \$4,564,127 was primarily due to an increase in infrastructure for portions of the Big Creek and Stafford Run projects. Liabilities increased \$1,600,840 primarily due to an increase in Other Post-Employment Benefits payable of \$1,238,742 and an increase in the amount due to the primary government of \$279,131. Unrestricted net position resulted in a deficit of \$2,264,745 primarily due to the continued growth in Other Post-Employment Benefits payable.

The following table is a condensed schedule of changes in net position for the years ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Program Revenues		
Capital contributions	\$ 2,432,714	\$ 3,423,120
Impact fees	937,129	669,106
Contribution from primary government	425,187	
General Revenues		
Property taxes	7,704,321	7,318,999
Earnings on investments	26,841	96,789
Miscellaneous	39,858	22,764
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>11,566,050</u>	<u>11,530,778</u>
Program Expenses		
Flood control-maintenance	9,091,946	8,807,639
<b>Total Expenses</b>	<u>9,091,946</u>	<u>8,807,639</u>
Change in Net Position	2,474,104	2,723,139
<b>Net Position, Beginning</b>	<u>31,737,245</u>	<u>29,014,106</u>
<b>Net Position, Ending</b>	<u>\$ 34,211,349</u>	<u>\$ 31,737,245</u>

The District's revenues are mainly general revenues received from property taxes levied in the District. The District's revenues were sufficient to cover all expenses incurred during the year ended September 30, 2013, resulting in a \$2,474,104 increase to net position. This increase is a down from the previous year's increase of \$2,723,139 by \$249,035. The key elements of this change in net position are the following: Decrease in capital contributions of \$990,406 due to a reduction in Fort Bend Flood Control Water Supply Corporation bond funds used to construct capital projects; Increase in impact fees of \$268,023 due to increased development in the District; Increase in contributions from primary government of \$425,187 due to the transfer from the County of impact fees received during 2009-2011 that were previously recognized in the financial statements of the Fort Bend Flood Control Water Supply Corporation and transferred to the District during 2013 (see Note 13 on page 22); Increase in property tax revenues of \$385,322 due to an increase in the tax rate and appraised values; Decrease in earnings on investments of \$69,948 due to reduced interest rates; Increase in miscellaneous revenue of \$17,094 primarily due to an increase in auction proceeds; Increase in flood control-maintenance expenses of \$284,307 primarily due to an increase in depreciation expense attributable to the completion of the Big Creek and Upper Oyster Creek projects.

### **Financial Analysis of the District's Fund**

As of September 30, 2013, the District's governmental fund reported an ending fund balance of \$4,897,811. The District's main source of revenue is property taxes, which totaled \$7,744,811 for the year ended September 30, 2013. The District's ending fund balance decreased during the fiscal year by \$809,147 which is down by \$1,711,104 from the previous year's increase of \$901,957. This change was primarily due to: an increase in property tax revenues of \$364,903; an increase in impact fees revenue of \$268,023; a decrease in earnings on investments of \$69,948; an increase in operating transfers in of 425,187; a decrease in local revenue of \$549,903; a decrease in operating expenditures of \$205,333; and an increase in capital expenditures of \$2,342,194.

### **General Fund Budgetary Highlights**

During fiscal year 2013, expenditures of \$519,292, \$72,114, \$9,650, and \$1,833,739 were incurred in the Stafford Run, Gapps Slough, Upper Oyster Creek, and Big Creek projects, respectively from the General Fund. Construction in progress through fiscal year 2013 total \$72,114 and \$603,569 for the Gapps Slough and Stafford Run projects, respectively. The unexpended/unencumbered balances as of September 30, 2013 for the Upper Oyster Creek, Big Creek, Lower Oyster Creek, Clear Creek, Stafford Run, Gapps

Slough, and 2011 Drainage Projects are \$1,243,671, \$1,188,605, \$66,978, \$145,296, \$1,639,142, \$53,885 and \$281,011, respectively.

### **Capital Assets**

A summary of the District's capital assets at September 30, 2013 and 2012 follows:

	<b>Balance Sept. 30, 2013</b>	<b>Balance Sept. 30, 2012</b>
<b>Non-Depreciable Capital Assets</b>		
Land	\$ 2,420,105	\$ 2,118,013
Construction in progress	675,683	22,795,483
 <b>Other Capital Assets, Net</b>		
Infrastructure-drainage improvements	28,618,784	2,150,946
Vehicles	394,470	408,846
Office furniture and equipment	18,236	2,943
Machinery and equipment	3,454,729	3,501,126
Buildings and facilities	894,087	934,610
<b>Total Capital Assets</b>	<b>\$ 36,476,094</b>	<b>\$ 31,911,967</b>

Construction in progress and infrastructure have been included within capital assets to represent the ongoing progress of Gapps Slough and Stafford Run as well as the infrastructure placed in service for Clear Creek, Upper Oyster Creek, Lower Oyster Creek, Oyster Creek, and Big Creek. The Gapps Slough and Stafford Run projects are ongoing.

### **Economic Factors**

The County continues to enjoy growth in various demographic areas during this ongoing economic slowdown.

The population of the County is estimated at 643,408 in 2013 and is expected to grow to 749,253 by 2018.

The number of households has increased to 206,439 in 2013 and is expected to grow to 241,305 by 2018. Average household income for 2013 is \$108,994 and is estimated to rise to \$129,644 by 2018. Income per capita is currently at \$35,172 and is expected to grow to \$41,926 by 2018.

Drainage improvements continue to be a demand from the residents of Fort Bend County. The District is proceeding with several drainage projects that will enhance and compliment the County's flood control and drainage system.

### **Contacting the District's Management and Obtaining Financial Information**

The financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances for all those with an interest. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to Mark Vogler, Fort Bend County Drainage District, 1004 Blume Rd., P.O. Box 1028, Rosenberg, Texas 77471.

## ***BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS***

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**STATEMENT OF NET POSITION**  
*September 30, 2013*

	<b>Governmental Activities</b>
<b>Assets</b>	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,894,233
Receivables:	
Property taxes, net	253,727
Intergovernmental	6,013
Miscellaneous	1,926
Due from primary government	43,194
Capital assets, not subject to depreciation	3,095,788
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	33,380,306
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>42,675,187</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>	
Retainage payable	81,322
Due to primary government	966,232
Long-term liabilities due within one year	40,215
Long-term liabilities due in more than one year	7,376,069
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>8,463,838</b>
<b>Net Position</b>	
Net investment in capital assets	36,476,094
Unrestricted	(2,264,745)
<b>Total Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 34,211,349</b>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2013*

	<b>Governmental Activities</b>
<b>Program Expenses</b>	
Flood control-maintenance	\$ 9,091,946
<b>Total Program Expenses</b>	<u>9,091,946</u>
<b>Program Revenues</b>	
Capital contributions	2,432,714
Impact fees	937,129
Contribution from primary government	425,187
<b>Total Program Revenues</b>	<u>3,795,030</u>
<b>General Revenues</b>	
Property taxes	7,704,321
Earnings on investments	26,841
Miscellaneous	39,858
<b>Total General Revenues</b>	<u>7,771,020</u>
<b>Increase in Net Position</b>	2,474,104
Net Position, Beginning	<u>31,737,245</u>
<b>Net Position, Ending</b>	<u><u>\$ 34,211,349</u></u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**BALANCE SHEET**  
**GOVERNMENTAL FUND**  
**September 30, 2013**

	<b>General Fund</b>
<b>Assets</b>	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,894,233
Property taxes receivable, net	253,727
Intergovernmental receivable	6,013
Miscellaneous receivable	1,925
Due from primary government	43,194
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 6,199,092</b>
<b>Liabilities and Fund Balance</b>	
<b>Liabilities</b>	
Retainage payable	81,322
Unearned revenue	253,727
Due to primary government	966,232
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>1,301,281</b>
<b>Fund Balance</b>	
Committed	4,868,588
Unassigned	29,223
<b>Total Fund Balance</b>	<b>4,897,811</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Fund Balance</b>	<b>\$ 6,199,092</b>
<b>Fund Balance - Governmental fund</b>	<b>\$ 4,897,811</b>
<b>Adjustments for the Statement of Net Position:</b>	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental fund.	36,476,094
Other long-term assets (property taxes receivable) are not available to pay for current period expenditures and are therefore deferred in the governmental fund.	253,728
Long-term liabilities, including compensated absences, are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the fund financial statements.	(7,416,284)
<b>Net Position of Governmental Activities</b>	<b>\$ 34,211,349</b>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES**  
**IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL FUND**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2013*

	<b>General Fund</b>
<b>Revenues</b>	
Property taxes	\$ 7,744,811
Earnings on investments	26,841
Impact fees	937,129
Miscellaneous	41,273
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>8,750,054</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>	
Current operating:	
Flood control-maintenance	6,676,920
Capital outlay	3,307,468
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>9,984,388</u>
<b>Revenues (Under) Expenditures</b>	(1,234,334)
<b>Other Financing Sources</b>	
Contribution from primary government	425,187
<b>Total Other Financing Sources</b>	<u>425,187</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance</b>	(809,147)
<b>Fund Balance, Beginning</b>	<u>5,706,958</u>
<b>Fund Balance, Ending</b>	<u><u>\$ 4,897,811</u></u>
<b>Net change in fund balance - governmental fund</b>	\$ (809,147)
<b>Adjustments for the Statement of Activities:</b>	
The governmental fund reports capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlay exceeded depreciation expense.	2,132,828
Increase in capital assets during the current fiscal year, which includes the contribution of assets constructed from bond proceeds of the Fort Bend Flood Control Water Supply Corporation maintained by the Drainage District.	2,431,299
Revenues that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the governmental funds. This adjustment reflects the net change on the accrual basis of accounting.	(40,489)
Long-term liabilities (compensated absences and other post-employment benefits) are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the fund financial statements. This adjustment reflects the net change on the accrual basis of accounting.	<u>(1,240,387)</u>
<b>Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities</b>	<u><u>\$ 2,474,104</u></u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**A. Reporting Entity**

The Fort Bend County Drainage District (the “District”) was established under Section 59 of Article XVI of the Constitution of Texas and includes all of the property within Fort Bend County. The District was created for the purpose of reclamation and drainage of its lands.

Considerations regarding the potential for inclusion of other entities, organizations or functions in the District’s financial reporting entity are based on criteria prescribed by generally accepted accounting principles. These same criteria are evaluated in considering whether the District is a part of any other governmental or other type of reporting entity. The overriding elements associated with prescribed criteria considered in determining whether the District’s financial reporting entity status is that of a primary government are whether it has a separately elected governing body; it is legally separate; and it is fiscally independent of other state and local governments.

Based on criteria prescribed by generally accepted accounting principles, the District is considered a component unit of Fort Bend County, Texas (the “County”). The primary criteria for the inclusion of the District in the County's reporting entity is that of financial accountability. The Commissioners Court, the elected governing body of the County, acts as the governing body of the District. As such, the County is financially accountable for the District and the District is considered a component unit of the County. These financial statements include all of the activities of the District.

Additionally, as required by generally accepted accounting principles, these financial statements have been prepared based on considerations regarding the potential for inclusion of other entities, organizations or functions as part of the District's financial reporting entity. Based on these considerations, no other entities, organizations or functions have been included in the District’s financial reporting entity.

**B. Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements (i.e. the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) report information about the District as a whole and include all activities of the District. The effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide statements. All of the District’s activities are reported as governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

### **C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation**

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. With this measurement focus, all assets and all liabilities associated with the operations of these activities are included on the statement of net position.

The governmental fund financial statements are presented on a *current financial resources measurement focus* and *modified accrual basis of accounting*. This is the manner in which these funds are normally budgeted. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Measurable means that the amount of the transaction can be determined and available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period.

Under modified accrual accounting, expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred, if measurable, except for interest on general long-term debt, which is recognized when due. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements' governmental column, a reconciliation is presented which briefly explains the adjustments necessary to reconcile fund-based financial statements with the governmental column of the government-wide presentation.

In the fund financial statements, the accounts of the District are organized on a fund basis, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. Following is a description of the District fund:

#### **General Fund**

The General Fund is used to account for all revenues and expenditures, relating to general operations.

### **D. Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The District's cash and cash equivalents consist of demand deposits and investment pools.

### **E. Capital Assets**

Capital assets used in governmental fund types of the government are recorded as expenditures in the General Fund and as assets in the government-wide financial statements to the extent the District's capitalization threshold is met, currently \$5,000. All capital assets are valued at historical cost or estimated historical cost if actual cost was not available. Donated capital assets are valued at their estimated fair value on the date of donation.

The Fort Bend Flood Control Water Supply Corporation (the "Corporation") was formed for the purpose of financing and constructing flood control projects and improvements, and any infrastructure that has been constructed, as well as any land or easements purchased by the Corporation during the projects, are conveyed to and maintained by the District. The District is responsible for maintaining these projects, and records these capital assets in its annual financial statements. The District also funds capital assets from general revenues through the annual budget process.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of assets or materially extend assets' lives are charged to operations when incurred. Expenditures that materially change capacities or extend useful lives are capitalized. Upon sale or retirement of capital assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation, if applicable, are eliminated from the respective accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the results of operations.

The District applies a half-year convention for depreciation on all assets. Therefore, one half of a year of depreciation is charged to operations the first and last year that an asset is in service. Depreciation has been provided for plant and equipment using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful life for the type of assets as follows:

<b>Asset Description</b>	<b>Estimated Useful Life</b>
Vehicles	5 to 7 years
Office furniture and equipment	5 to 7 years
Machinery and equipment	7 to 15 years
Buildings and facilities	5 to 39 years
Infrastructure-drainage improvements	20 to 40 years

#### **F. Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. In addition, these estimates and assumptions also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **G. Date of Managements' Review**

In preparing the financial statements, the District has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through January 28, 2014, the date that the financial statements were available to be issued.

### **NOTE 2 – DEPOSITS (CASH) AND INVESTMENTS**

#### **A. Authorization for Deposits and Investments**

The Texas Public Funds Investment Act (PFIA), as prescribed in Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code, regulates deposits and investment transactions of the District.

In accordance with applicable statutes, the District has a depository contract with an area bank (depository) providing for interest rates to be earned on deposited funds and for banking charges the District incurs for banking services received. The District may place funds with the depository in interest and non-interest bearing accounts. State law provides that collateral pledged as security for bank deposits must have a market value of not less than the amount of the deposits and must consist of: (1) obligations of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities; (2) direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies; (3) other obligations, the principal and interest on which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the State of Texas; and/or (4) obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities and other political subdivisions of any state having been rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm and having received a

rating of not less than “A” or its equivalent. District policy requires the collateralization level to be at least 110% of market value of principal.

Commissioners’ Court has adopted a written investment policy regarding the investment of District funds as required by the Public Funds Investment Act (Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code). Investments made by the District are in compliance with the District’s investment policy.

The District’s investment policy is more restrictive than the PFIA requires. It is the District’s policy to restrict its direct investments to obligations of the U.S. Government or U.S. Government Agencies, fully collateralized certificates of deposit, and local government investment pools. The maximum maturity allowed is three years from the date of purchase.

At September 30, 2013, the District reported deposits in the amount of \$5,849,567 and the bank balance was \$5,580,162. The District's collateral requirement, in accordance with its investment policy is 110%. Of the bank balance, the entire amount was covered by federal depository insurance or by collateral held by the County's agent in the County’s name as of September 30, 2013.

## **B. Concentration of Credit Risk**

It is the County’s policy to diversify its portfolio to eliminate the risk of loss resulting from a concentration of assets of a specific maturity (save and except zero duration funds), a specific issuer or a specific class of investments. To achieve this diversification, the County will limit investments in specific types of securities to the following percentages of the total portfolio:

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Maximum Investment %</u>
Repurchase Agreements	up to 35%
Certificates of Deposit	up to 50%
U.S. Treasury Bills/Notes	up to 100%
Other U.S. Government Securities	up to 80%
Authorized Local Government Investment Pools	up to 80%
No Load Money Market Mutual Funds	up to 50%
Bankers Acceptances	up to 15%

As of September 30, 2013, 99.2% of the District’s cash and cash equivalents are contained in demand deposit accounts.

### C. Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates may adversely affect the value of the investments. The District monitors interest rate risk utilizing weighted average maturity analysis. In accordance with its investment policy, the District reduces its exposure to declines in fair values by limiting the weighted average maturity of its investment portfolio as a whole to no more than 3 years. At year-end, the District's cash and investments balances and the weighted average maturity of these investments were as follows:

	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Weighted Average Maturity</u>
Demand Deposits	\$ 5,849,567	1
Investment Pools:		
Texas CLASS	43,325	37
Texas TERM	333	49
LOGIC	1,008	55
Total Fair Value	<u>\$ 5,894,233</u>	
Portfolio weighted average maturity (days)	<u>1</u>	

### D. Credit Risk

The District's investment policy does not require investments to hold certain credit ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. As of September 30, 2013, all of the District's investments were rated "AAAm" by Standard and Poor's.

## NOTE 3 – RECEIVABLES

Receivables at September 30, 2013, consist primarily of property tax receivables of \$281,919, less an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$28,192.

### A. Property Taxes

The District's tax year covers the period October 1 through September 30. The District's property taxes are levied annually in October on the basis of the Fort Bend County Appraisal District's assessed values as of January 1 of that calendar year. Taxes are due on receipt of the tax bill and are delinquent if not paid before February 1 of the year following the year in which imposed. The District's property taxes are billed and collected by the County's Tax Assessor/Collector.

## NOTE 4 – CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended September 30, 2013, is as follows:

	<b>Balance 10/1/2012</b>	<b>Increases</b>	<b>Decreases</b>	<b>Balance 9/30/13</b>
<b>Governmental Activities:</b>				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 2,118,013	\$ 302,092	\$	\$ 2,420,105
Construction in progress	22,795,483	4,885,845	(27,005,645)	675,683
<b>Total capital assets not depreciated</b>	<b>24,913,496</b>	<b>5,187,937</b>	<b>(27,005,645)</b>	<b>3,095,788</b>
Other capital assets:				
Infrastructure-drainage improvements	2,599,780	27,005,645		29,605,425
Vehicles	1,347,774	79,004	(64,312)	1,362,466
Office furniture and equipment	123,218	18,988	(19,261)	122,945
Machinery and equipment	8,332,736	452,837	(183,821)	8,601,752
Buildings and facilities	1,695,197			1,695,197
<b>Total other capital assets</b>	<b>14,098,705</b>	<b>27,556,474</b>	<b>(267,394)</b>	<b>41,387,785</b>
<b>Less accumulated depreciation for:</b>				
Infrastructure-drainage improvements	(448,834)	(537,807)		(986,641)
Vehicles	(938,928)	(93,380)	64,312	(967,996)
Office furniture and equipment	(120,275)	(3,695)	19,261	(104,709)
Machinery and equipment	(4,831,610)	(499,234)	183,821	(5,147,023)
Buildings and facilities	(760,587)	(40,523)		(801,110)
Total accumulated depreciation	(7,100,234)	(1,174,639)	267,394	(8,007,479)
Other capital assets, net	6,998,471	26,381,835		33,380,306
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 31,911,967</b>	<b>\$ 31,569,772</b>	<b>\$ (27,005,645)</b>	<b>\$ 36,476,094</b>

For the year ended September 30, 2013, \$1,399,686 of depreciation was charged to governmental activities.

Construction in progress at September 30, 2013, consisted of the following projects:

<b>Project</b>	<b>Balance 10/01/12</b>	<b>Increases- Retainage Included</b>	<b>Decreases- Capitalizations</b>	<b>Balance 9/30/13</b>	<b>Commitments</b>
Big Creek	\$ 2,199,588	\$ 1,833,739	\$ (4,033,327)	\$	\$ 286,360
Big Creek FBFCWSC - Bonds	12,940,708	2,451,050	(15,391,758)		
Gapps Slough		72,114		72,114	11,249
Stafford Run Creek	84,277	519,292		603,569	125,270
Upper Oyster Creek	7,570,910	9,650	(7,580,560)		
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 22,795,483</b>	<b>\$ 4,885,845</b>	<b>\$ (27,005,645)</b>	<b>\$ 675,683</b>	<b>\$ 422,879</b>

The bulk of the construction in progress is funded by the Fort Bend Flood Control Water Supply Corporation's debt.

## NOTE 5 – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Long-term liabilities applicable to the District's governmental activities are not due and payable in the current period, and accordingly, are not reported as fund liabilities in the governmental fund.

A summary of long-term liability transactions of the District for the year ended September 30, 2013, is as follows:

	Balance 10/01/12	Additions	Retirements	Balance 9/30/13	Amounts Due Within One Year
Accrued compensated absences	\$ 159,214	\$ 181,337	\$ 179,692	\$ 160,859	\$ 40,215
Other post-employment benefits (OPEB) obligation	6,016,683	1,524,712	285,970	7,255,425	
<b>Total Long-term Liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 6,175,897</b>	<b>\$ 1,706,049</b>	<b>\$ 465,662</b>	<b>\$ 7,416,284</b>	<b>\$ 40,215</b>

## NOTE 6 – EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM

### A. Plan Description

The County provides retirement, disability, and death benefits for all of its full-time employees through a nontraditional defined benefit pension plan in the statewide Texas County and District Retirement System (TCDRS). The Board of Trustees of TCDRS is responsible for the administration of the statewide agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system consisting of 493 nontraditional defined benefit pension plans. TCDRS in the aggregate issues a comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) on a calendar year basis. The CAFR is available upon written request from the TCDRS Board of Trustees at P.O. Box 2034, Austin, Texas 78768-2034.

The plan provisions are adopted by the governing body of the employer, within the options available in the Texas state statutes governing TCDRS (TCDRS Act). Members can retire at ages 60 and above with eight or more years of service, with 30 years of service regardless of age, or when the sum of their age and years of service equals 75 or more. Members are vested after eight years of service but must leave their accumulated contributions in the plan to receive any employer-financed benefit. Members who withdraw their personal contributions in a lump sum are not entitled to any amounts contributed by their employer.

Benefit amounts are determined by the sum of the employee's contributions to the plan, with interest, and employer-financed monetary credits. The level of these monetary credits is adopted by the governing body of the employer within the actuarial constraints imposed by the TCDRS Act so that the resulting benefits can be expected to be adequately financed by the employer's commitment to contribute. At retirement, death, or disability, the benefit is calculated by converting the sum of the employee's accumulated contributions and the employer-financed monetary credits to a monthly annuity using annuity purchase rates prescribed by the TCDRS Act.

### B. Contributions

The employer has elected the annually determined contribution rate (ADCR) plan provisions of the TCDRS Act. The plan is funded by monthly contributions from both employee members and the employer based on the covered payroll of employee members. Under the TCDRS Act, the

contribution rate of the employer is actuarially determined annually. It was 11.55% for calendar year 2013. The contribution rate payable by the employee members is the rate of 7% as adopted by the governing body of the employer. The employee contribution rate and the employer contribution rate may be changed by the governing body of the employer within the options available in the TCDRS Act.

The County's total payroll in fiscal year 2013 was approximately \$112.6 million and the County's contributions were based on a payroll of approximately \$110.9 million. Contributions made by employees totaled \$7,763,926, and the County made contributions of \$12,681,672 during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, of which \$366,550 was attributable to the District.

Three year trend information for the pension plan is presented below:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Annual Pension Cost (APC)	\$ 12,681,672	\$ 12,127,523	\$ 11,418,711
Percentage of APC Contributed	100%	100%	100%
Net Pension Obligation at the End of Period			

For the year ended September 30, 2013, the pension cost for the TCDRS plan and the actual contributions made were \$12,681,672 for the County, (of which \$366,550 was attributable to the District). Because all contributions are made as required, no pension obligation existed at September 30, 2013.

<b>Actuarial Information</b>	<b>12/31/2012</b>
Actuarial cost method	Entry age
Amortization method	Level percentage of payroll, closed
Amortization period	20 years
Asset valuation method	SAF: 10-year smoothed value ESF: Fund value
Assumptions:	
Investment return	8.0%
Projected salary increases	5.4%
Inflation	3.5%
Costs-of-living adjustments	0.0%

## **NOTE 7 – OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS**

In addition to providing pension benefits through the Texas County and District Retirement System, the County has opted to provide eligible retired employees with the following post-employment benefits:

- Eligible retirees receive the same healthcare benefits as current eligible County employees.
- Eligible retirees may purchase healthcare coverage for eligible dependents at the same subsidized cost to current eligible County employees.

The County is statutorily required to permit retiree participation in the health insurance program on a pooled non-differentiated basis. The County recognizes its share of the costs of providing these benefits when paid, on a "pay-as-you-go" basis. These payments are budgeted annually. At September 30, 2013, there were 47 retirees receiving benefits and approximately 70 active members not yet eligible to receive such benefits. Commencing in fiscal year 2008, the County

implemented GASB Statement No. 45 “Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions.” The County has performed actuarial valuations of its post-retirement benefit liability in 2008, 2010, and 2012.

For fiscal year 2013, the District’s annual OPEB cost for the plan was \$1,238,742. The District’s annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the Plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the year ended September 30, 2013, were as follows:

	<b>Fiscal Year Ending 9/30/13</b>
<b>Determination of Annual Required Contribution</b>	
Normal Cost at Fiscal Year End	\$ 649,758
Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	968,850
Annual Required Contribution	<u>1,618,608</u>
<b>Determination of Net OPEB Obligation</b>	
Annual Required Contribution	1,618,608
Interest on prior year Net OPEB Obligation	240,667
Adjustment to ARC	<u>(334,563)</u>
Annual OPEB Cost	1,524,712
Less Assumed Contributions Made	<u>(285,970)</u>
Estimate Increase in Net OPEB Obligation	1,238,742
Net OPEB Obligation – Beginning of Year	<u>6,016,683</u>
Net OPEB Obligation – End of Year	<u><u>\$ 7,255,425</u></u>

The following table shows the annual OPEB cost and net OPEB obligation for the prior 3 years assuming the plan is not prefunded (4% discount):

<b>Fiscal Year Ended</b>	<b>Discount Rate</b>	<b>Annual OPEB Cost</b>	<b>Percentage of OPEB Cost Contributed</b>	<b>Net OPEB Obligation</b>
2011	4%	\$ 1,559,530	20.6%	\$ 4,781,516
2012	4%	\$ 1,475,306	16.3%	\$ 6,016,683
2013	4%	\$ 1,524,712	18.8%	\$ 7,255,425

## **NOTE 8 – FUND BALANCE**

As prescribed by GASB Statement No. 54, governmental funds report fund balance in classifications based primarily on the extent to which the District is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in the funds can be spent. As of September 30, 2013, fund balance for the government fund is made up of the following:

*Nonspendable Fund Balance* – includes amounts that are (a) not in spendable form, or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The “not in spendable form” criterion includes items that are not expected to be converted to cash, for example: inventories, prepaid amounts, and long-term notes receivable.

*Restricted Fund Balance* - includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by external resource providers, constitutionally or through enabling legislation. Restrictions may effectively be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers.

*Committed Fund Balance* – includes amounts that can only be used for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the District’s highest level of decision-making authority, the District’s Board. Commitments may be changed or lifted only by the District taking the same formal action that imposed the constraint originally.

*Assigned Fund Balance* – comprises amounts intended to be used by the District for specific purposes that are neither restricted nor committed. *Intent* is expressed by (1) the District’s Board or (b) a body (for example: a budget or finance committee) or official to which the District’s Board has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes.

*Unassigned Fund Balance* – is the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all amounts not contained in the other classifications. Unassigned amounts are technically available for any purpose.

GASB 54 requires disclosure of any formally adopted minimum fund balance policies. The District’s policy is to budget to maintain a minimum fund balance of 15% of the District’s General Fund annual operating expenditures. If the actual fund balance drops below 15%, it shall be budgeted for recovery the following year. This policy is reviewed annually.

Fund balance for the District as of September 30, 2013, is comprised of committed in the amount of \$4,868,588 for capital projects, with the remaining balance of \$29,223 classified as unassigned.

## **NOTE 9 – CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**

The District is contingently liable for lawsuits and other claims arising in the ordinary course of its operations. The settlement of such contingencies under the budgetary process would not materially affect the financial position of the District as of September 30, 2013.

## **NOTE 10 – RISK MANAGEMENT**

The District is exposed to various risks related to torts: theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disaster. The County's risk management program, which covers the District, encompasses various means of protecting the District against loss by obtaining

property, casualty and liability coverage through commercial insurance carriers, self-insurance and from participation in a risk pool. The participation of the District in the risk pool is limited to the payment of premiums. Settled claims have not exceeded insurance coverage in any of the previous three fiscal years. There has not been any significant reduction in insurance coverage from that of the previous year.

#### **NOTE 11 – FORT BEND FLOOD CONTROL WATER SUPPLY CORPORATION**

Fort Bend Flood Control Water Supply Corporation (the "Corporation") was formed for the purpose of financing the construction of flood control projects. In 2011, the Corporation issued refunding bonds in the amount of \$9,675,000 to refund the remaining portion of the 2001 revenue bonds. Any property acquired during the projects is conveyed to and maintained by the District.

#### **NOTE 12 – RESTATEMENT OF NET POSITION**

During the 2013 fiscal year, the District made adjustments to its capital asset records. As a result of these adjustments, the District has restated the beginning balances of land and construction in progress by \$1,944,477 and (\$1,944,477), respectively. The District's beginning Net Position balance did not change as a result of these adjustments. The restatements were primarily due to improper classification of assets between categories.

#### **NOTE 13 – IMPACT FEES**

Impact fees have been collected by the County and deposited with the Corporation to support debt service through fiscal year 2011. These fees are authorized by resolution of the Commissioners Court pursuant to chapter 395 of the Local Government Code. These fees are collected from property owners within the service area of the Oyster Creek watershed. It was determined that these impact fees should have been deposited with the District after the Oyster Creek bonds were paid off by the Corporation in fiscal year 2008. For fiscal year 2012, these fees were recorded as revenue in the District to support the Oyster Creek projects managed by the District. The impact fees collected during fiscal years 2009-2011 were analyzed and transferred to the District in fiscal year 2013.

#### **NOTE 14 – IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW STANDARDS**

In the current fiscal year, the District implemented the following new standard:

GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position* ("GASB 63"), amended the net asset reporting requirements in GASB Statement No. 34 and other pronouncements by incorporating deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources into the definitions of the required components of the residual measure and by renaming that measure as "net position" rather than "net assets."

***REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION***

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES**  
**IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - GENERAL FUND - BUDGETARY BASIS**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2013**

	<b>Original Budget</b>	<b>Budget as Amended</b>	<b>Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis</b>	<b>Variance from Amended Positive (Negative)</b>
<b>Revenues</b>				
Property taxes	\$ 7,775,215	\$ 7,775,215	\$ 7,744,811	\$ (30,404)
Impact fees			937,129	937,129
Earnings on investments	100,000	100,000	26,841	(73,159)
Miscellaneous	65,000	65,000	39,858	(25,142)
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>7,940,215</u>	<u>7,940,215</u>	<u>8,748,639</u>	<u>808,424</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Salaries and personnel costs	5,092,348	5,031,679	4,924,440	107,239
Operating costs	2,195,446	2,117,155	1,751,009	366,146
Information technology costs	8,600	2,400	1,472	928
Capital acquisitions	447,720	551,593	551,592	1
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>7,744,114</u>	<u>7,702,827</u>	<u>7,228,513</u>	<u>474,314</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	<u>196,101</u>	<u>237,388</u>	<u>1,520,126</u>	<u>1,282,738</u>
<b>Other Financing (Uses)</b>				
Contribution from primary government			425,187	425,187
Transfers (out)	(542,000)			
<b>Total Other Financing (Uses)</b>	<u>(542,000)</u>		<u>425,187</u>	<u>425,187</u>
<b>Net change in fund balance- budgetary basis</b>	<u>(345,899)</u>	<u>237,388</u>	<u>1,945,313</u>	<u>1,707,925</u>
<b>Net adjustment to reflect operations in accordance with GAAP</b>			(2,754,460)	
<b>Fund Balance, Beginning</b>	<u>5,706,958</u>	<u>5,706,958</u>	<u>5,706,958</u>	
<b>Fund Balance, Ending</b>	<u>\$ 5,361,059</u>	<u>\$ 5,944,346</u>	<u>\$ 4,897,811</u>	<u>\$ 1,707,925</u>

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

**Budgets**

The Board adopts an annual appropriations budget for the General Fund using the same basis of accounting as for financial reporting. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year-end. The County Budget Officer prepares the proposed budget, using revenue estimates furnished by the County Auditor and submits the data to the Board. The Board holds a public hearing on the budget. Before determining the final budget, the Board may increase or decrease the amounts requested by District management. In the final budget, appropriations for the General Fund cannot exceed the estimated available budgetary fund balance in such funds at October 1, plus the estimate of revenues for the ensuing year. During the year, the Board may increase budgeted revenues and expenditures for unexpected revenues or beginning fund balance in excess of budget estimates, provided the Board rules that a state of emergency exists. The District may transfer amounts among individual budget line items within major expenditure categories during the year, but the Board must approve any budget transfers between major expenditure categories. However, no such transfer may increase the overall total of the budget.

The General Fund includes a multi-year budget that is not confined to the fiscal year ending September 30. This multi-year budget is primarily used to account for capital projects. The funding for these multi-year budgets originates from a prior and/or current fiscal year budget allocation within the General Fund. These annual budgetary allocations are transferred to the multi-year budgets within the General Fund. The residual balances of these budgets are reconsidered by the Board annually during the budget process described in the preceding paragraph. The schedule below shows a reconciliation of the GAAP Basis activity in the General Fund for the fiscal year and multi-year budgetary basis to determine the actual net change in fund balance.

	<b>Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis</b>	<b>Actual Multi-Year</b>	<b>Actual Amounts GAAP Basis</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Revenues	\$ 8,748,639	\$ 1,415	\$ 8,750,054
Expenditures	7,228,513	2,755,875	9,984,388
<b>Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures</b>	1,520,126	(2,754,460)	(1,234,334)
Contribution from primary government	425,187		425,187
<b>Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>	425,187		425,187
<b>Net change in fund balance</b>	1,945,313	(2,754,460)	(809,147)
<b>Fund Balance, Beginning</b>			5,706,958
<b>Fund Balance, Ending</b>			<u>\$ 4,897,811</u>

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**TEXAS COUNTY AND DISTRICT RETIREMENT SYSTEM**  
**SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS**

For the year ended September 30, 2013, the pension cost for the TCDRS plan and the actual contributions made were \$12,681,672 for the County, (of which \$366,550 was attributable to the District). Because all contributions are made as required, no pension obligation existed at September 30, 2013.

The annual required contributions were actuarially determined as a percent of the covered payroll of the participating employees, and were in compliance with the GASB Statement No. 27 parameters based on the actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2011, the basis for determining the contribution rate for calendar year 2013. The December 31, 2012 actuarial valuation is the most recent valuation.

<b>Actuarial valuation date</b>	<b>12/31/2010</b>	<b>12/31/2011</b>	<b>12/31/2012</b>
Actuarial value of assets	\$ 257,926,802	\$ 277,022,916	\$ 293,944,235
Actuarial accrued liability (AAL)	\$ 307,767,507	\$ 334,116,854	\$ 356,860,353
Unfunded/(Overfunded) actuarial accrued liability (UAAL or OAAL)	\$ 49,840,705	\$ 57,093,938	\$ 62,916,118
Funded ratio	83.81%	82.91%	82.37%
Annual covered payroll (actuarial)	\$ 106,041,139	\$ 108,712,740	\$ 109,715,087
UAAL or OAAL as % of covered payroll	47.00%	52.52%	57.35%

## TEXAS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

<b>Contents</b>	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
TSI-1. Services and Rates	n/a
TSI-2. General Fund Expenditures	28
TSI-3. Temporary Investments	n/a
TSI-4. Taxes Levied and Receivable	29
TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements by Years	n/a
TSI-6. Changes in Long-Term Bonded Debt	n/a
TSI-7. Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - General Fund	30-31
TSI-8. Board Members, Key Personnel, and Consultants	32

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2013*

TSI-2

**Salary and Personnel Costs:**

Salaries and labor	\$ 3,206,500
Board pay	12,000
Payroll taxes	237,815
Retirement	366,550
Insurance	735,274
Insurance-retirees	366,300

**Operating and Training Costs:**

Fees	175,960
Travel	17,341
Rental	4,811
Office supplies	5,317
Operating supplies	824,888
Repairs and maintenance	188,331
Fuel	511,366
Property and equipment	22,995

**Information Technology Costs**

1,472

**Capital Acquisition Costs**

3,307,468

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES**

\$ 9,984,388

Number of employees employed by the District:

70

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE**  
*September 30, 2013*

TSI-4

	<b>Maintenance Taxes</b>
<b>Taxes receivable - Beginning of Year</b>	\$ 198,791
Adjustments	4,625
Adjusted receivable	203,416
<b>2012 Tax Levy:</b>	
Original tax levy	7,401,982
Adjustments and corrections	330,033
Adjusted 2012 tax levy	7,732,015
<b>Total to be Accounted for</b>	7,935,431
<b>Tax Collections:</b>	
Current year	7,676,539
Prior years	79,232
<b>Total Collections</b>	7,755,771
<b>Taxes Receivable - End of Year</b>	\$ 179,660
<b>Taxes Receivable - By Years:</b>	
2012	\$ 55,476
2011	24,063
2010	18,217
2009	17,058
2008	11,983
2007 and prior	52,863
<b>Taxes Receivable - End of Year</b>	\$ 179,660

Note: Taxes receivable above does not include penalty and interest receivable of \$102,259, nor the allowance for uncollectibles of \$28,192.

<b>Assessed</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Property Valuations:</b>					
Land	\$14,500,146,320	\$14,113,725,080	\$13,869,532,868	\$14,061,183,883	\$12,980,188,290
Improvements	34,202,942,871	32,372,060,606	31,830,319,819	32,164,064,734	32,099,266,663
Personal property	4,673,417,953	4,628,171,833	4,638,064,034	5,024,112,860	4,960,306,941
Less: exemptions	(14,418,705,295)	(13,518,350,054)	(13,427,949,974)	(13,461,071,437)	(11,983,077,633)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$38,957,801,849</b>	<b>\$37,595,607,465</b>	<b>\$36,909,966,747</b>	<b>\$37,788,290,040</b>	<b>\$38,056,684,261</b>
<b>Tax Rates Per \$100</b>					
<b>Valuations</b>					
Maintenance tax rates	\$ 0.01900	\$ 0.01880	\$ 0.01960	\$ 0.02076	\$ 0.01600
<b>Total Tax Rate per</b>					
<b>\$100 Valuation</b>	<b>\$ 0.01900</b>	<b>\$ 0.01880</b>	<b>\$ 0.01960</b>	<b>\$ 0.02076</b>	<b>\$ 0.01600</b>
<b>Original Tax Levy</b>	<b>\$ 7,401,982</b>	<b>\$ 7,067,974</b>	<b>\$ 7,234,353</b>	<b>\$ 7,844,849</b>	<b>\$ 6,089,069</b>
<b>Percent of Taxes Collected</b>					
<b>to Taxes Levied</b>	<b>99.25%</b>	<b>99.66%</b>	<b>99.75%</b>	<b>99.78%</b>	<b>99.80%</b>

Maximum Tax Rate Approved by Voters: \$ 0.25 on 6/25/49

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES -**  
**GENERAL FUND**  
**Last Five Fiscal Years**

	<b>Amounts</b>				
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Revenues:</b>					
Property taxes	\$ 7,744,811	\$ 7,379,908	\$ 7,589,103	\$ 8,054,060	\$ 6,017,628
Investment income	26,841	96,789	106,925	106,619	25,543
Impact fees	937,129	669,106			
Intergovernmental revenues					12,431
Miscellaneous	41,273	603,681	1,262,525	1,127,637	242,836
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>8,750,054</b>	<b>8,749,484</b>	<b>8,958,553</b>	<b>9,288,316</b>	<b>6,298,438</b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>					
Current	6,676,920	6,882,253	6,484,649	6,111,012	6,909,757
Capital outlay	3,307,468	965,274	3,158,060	2,483,333	842,813
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>9,984,388</b>	<b>7,847,527</b>	<b>9,642,709</b>	<b>8,594,345</b>	<b>7,752,570</b>
<b>Excess (Deficiency) Revenues Over</b>					
<b>(Under) Expenditures</b>	<b>\$ (1,234,334)</b>	<b>\$ 901,957</b>	<b>\$ (684,156)</b>	<b>\$ 693,971</b>	<b>\$ (1,454,132)</b>
<b>Total Active Retail</b>					
<b>Water Connections</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Total Active Retail</b>					
<b>Wastewater Connections</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

Percent of Total Fund Revenues				
2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
88.5 %	84.3 %	84.7 %	86.7 %	95.5 %
0.3	1.1	1.2	1.2	0.4
10.7	7.7			0.2
0.5	6.9	14.1	12.1	3.9
100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
76.3	78.7	72.4	65.8	109.7
37.8	11.0	35.3	26.7	13.4
114.1 %	89.7 %	107.7 %	92.5 %	123.1 %
(14.1) %	10.3 %	(7.7) %	7.5 %	(23.1) %

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**BOARD MEMBERS, KEY PERSONNEL, AND CONSULTANTS**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2013*

TSI-8

Complete District Mailing Address: 1004 Blume Road, PO Box 1028, Rosenberg, TX 77471  
District Business Telephone Number: (281) 342-2863  
Submission date of most recent District Registration Form  
(TWC Sections 36.054 and 49.054): 5/2/11  
Limit on Fees of Office that a Director may receive during a fiscal year: \$7,200  
(Set by Board Resolution - TWC Section 49.6000)

<b>Names:</b>	<b>Term of Office (Elected or Appointed) or Date Hired</b>	<b>Fees of Office Paid * 09/30/13</b>	<b>Expense Reimburse- ments 09/30/13</b>	<b>Title at Year-end</b>
<b><u>Board Members:</u></b>				
Robert Hebert	(Elected) 1/11 - 12/14	\$ 2,400	\$	Chairman
Richard Morrison	(Elected) 1/13 - 12/16	2,400		Board Member
Grady Prestage	(Elected) 1/11 - 12/14	2,400		Board Member
Andy Meyers	(Elected) 1/13 - 12/16	2,400		Board Member
James Patterson	(Elected) 1/11 - 12/14	2,400		Board Member
<b><u>Key Administrative Personnel:</u></b>				
Mark Vogler	1/1/07	\$ 108,058	\$ 2,939	Drainage District Manager/ Chief Engineer
<b><u>Consultants:</u></b>				
Cobb, Fendley, and Associates		\$ 508,607		Engineering Design
Texas Engineering and Mapping		325		Engineer
George Sills Geotechnical		2,500		Engineering Consultant
Dunbar Harder PLLC		9,300		Legal
R G Miller		72,115		Drainage Study
Fox & Bubela, Inc.		3,800		Appraiser
Kelly Kaluza & Associates		6,300		Appraiser
Freese & Nichols, Inc.		331,181		Engineer
Carroll & Blackman, Inc.		26,258		Engineer
Fort Bend County Appraisal District		42,998		Tax Appraiser
Sandersen, Knox & Company, L.L.P.		12,500		Independent Auditor

\* *Fees of Office* are the amounts actually paid to a Director during the District's fiscal year.

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Board of Directors  
Fort Bend County Drainage District  
Fort Bend County, Texas

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Fort Bend County Drainage District, (the "District"), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2013, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated January 28, 2014. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Directors and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

# ***DRAFT***

Sugar Land, Texas  
January 28, 2014

*(This page intentionally left blank)*